



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/740,064	.12/20/2000	Hiroshi Yoshida	KAW-238-USAP	1137
75	90 04/06/2004	•	EXAM	INER
Snider & Asso	ciates	HOTALING, JOHN M		
Ronald R. Snide			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 27613			AKI UNII	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20038-7613			3713	18
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/200	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

_	_	<u>.</u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/740,064	YOSHIDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John M Hotaling II	3713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep or within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH or cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 De	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 December 2003.					
,						
, — · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyand tion is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Ap rity documents have been r u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10.		immary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stockdale et al US Patent 6,251,014 in view of Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary Third Edition page 451 definition for structured programming. Stockdale discloses all of the instant application with the exception of specifically stating the use of a hierarchical processing structure and mid level instructions. Instead Stockdale discloses all of the inputs and outputs of a gaming machine may be controlled by a master gaming controller which sends out high level instructions to a peripheral controller which can use the high level instructions to send low level instruction precisely controlling the operation of its drive mechanism or pas the high level instructions to a second slot reel peripheral. Stockdale provides a method for controlling operation of a slot reel peripheral containing a single slot reel on a gaming machine. The method may include the steps of (1) receiving a high level instruction for controlling the slot reel peripheral from a first master gaming controller via a standard peripheral connection to a peripheral controller associated with the slot reel peripheral where the high level instruction does not precisely specify how the slot reel peripheral must perform an operation associated with the high level instruction, (2) converting the high level

Art Unit: 3713

instruction to one or more low level operating instructions, at the peripheral controller, for controlling the operation of one or more peripheral devices provided with the slot reel peripheral and (3) controlling operation of the one or more peripheral devices with the low level operating instructions. In the a preferred embodiment, the method may also include the steps of (a) storing state history information in the slot reel peripheral specifying a recent operating state of the slot reel peripheral and (b) transmitting the stored state history information to the first master gaming controller. In another embodiment the slot reel peripheral may receive a high level instruction for controlling the slot reel peripheral from a second slot reel peripheral via a standard peripheral connection or from a second master gaming controller different from the first master gaming controller. The slot reel peripheral may contain a number of peripheral devices including an effects light 404, a back light 406, a bar code detector 408, position sensors 410, tampering sensors 412, an electro-luminescence device 414, a sound device 416, a stepper motor 418, and a slot reel 420. Stockdale teaches the transformation of a high level signal by an intermediate device into a low level signal for use by a low level device. The Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary (MPCD) Third Edition page 451 definition for structured programming states that; Programming that produces programs with clean flow, clear design, and a degree of modularity or hierarchical structure. One of ordinary skill in the art would understand that the processing of high level signals into low level signals is structured or "Hierarchical" programming as taught above. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 3713

art at the time of the invention use hierarchical processing including mid level instructions as disclosed in Stockdale and specifically taught in the MPCD.

Citation of Pertinent Prior Art

2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Wells et al '585, Mastera et al '666 both disclose processing schemes for a game machine.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M Hotaling II whose telephone number is 703 305 0780. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa Walberg can be reached on (703) 308-1327. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3713

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN M. HOTALING, III
PRIMARY EXAMINEM